

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JASON SCOTT HOUNIHAN,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
RUSHING, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 1:24-cv-00403-SAB (PC)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

(ECF No. 7)

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On May 9, 2024, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint, found no cognizable claims, and granted Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's May 9, 2024, screening order and the time to do so has passed. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall be ordered to show cause why the action should not be dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief, failure to prosecute, and failure to comply with a court order.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Within **fourteen (14)** days from the date of service of this order Plaintiff shall show cause why the action should not be dismissed; and

- 1           2.       Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation to dismiss this  
2                   action for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief, for failure to prosecute, and  
3                   failure to comply with a court order.

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: **June 20, 2024**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE